ISENBRUCK | BÖSL | HÖRSCHLER LLP

Patentanwälte

Supplementary Protection Certificate

- SPC -
- Questions and Answers -

DR ULRIKE HERR

herr@ib-patent.de

Outline – The Topics

I. Principal Questions and Answers

- 1. Subject-matter and Effect of an SPC
- 2. Types of Products available for an SPC
- 3. European Countries granting an SPC
- 4. Term of an SPC
- 5. Calculation of the Term of an SPC
- 6. Conditions for obtaining an SPC

Outline – The Topics

II. Landmark Questions and Answers

- Conditions of Product Protection by a Basic Patent Medeva / Eli Lilly v HGS
- Marketing Authorisations for an SPC Georgetown I
- 3. More than one SPC per Patent **Georgetown II / Actavis v Sanofi**

I.1 What are subject-matter and effect of an SPC?

Subject-matter of Protection of an SPC

No extension of the term of the whole patent

Protection conferred by an SPC extends only to the product covered by the MA (Art. 4)

Example

Patent: protects A, B, C and D SPC: protects only B

Α

В

C

D

В

Effect of an SPC

For the product: confers the <u>same rights</u> as the basic patent (Art. 5 and Novartis v Actavis, C-442/11)

I.2 For what types of products is an SPC available?

Legal basis – "SPC Regulation"

- Medicinal products: Regulation (EC) No. 469/2009
- Plant protection products: Regulation (EC) No. 1610/96
- Germany: in conjunction with § 16a PatG

I.2 For what types of products is an SPC available?

SPC Regulation – an SPC is granted for

- An active ingredient or a combination of active ingredients of a medicinal or a plant protection product (Art. 1)
- Any product <u>protected by a patent</u>
 in the territory of an EU/EEA Member State (Art. 2)
- Any product for which a <u>marketing authorisation (MA)</u> is <u>necessary</u> to place the product on the market as a medicinal or a plant protection product (Art. 2)

I.2 For what types of products is an SPC available?

Practice 2014 – an SPC is granted for

- Medicinal products
- Veterinary medicinal products
- Plant protection products
- Safeners (with a toxic, phytotoxic or plant protection action, Bayer CropScience, C-11/13)

Practice 2014 – an SPC is <u>not</u> granted for

- Adjuvants (GSK Biologicals, C-210/13)
- Medical devices (Cerus Corporation, BL O/141/14)

I.3 Where in Europe is an SPC available?

In Europe – an SPC can be obtained

- In all Member States of the EU (currently: 28):
 Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus,
 Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France,
 Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia,
 Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Poland,
 Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden,
 United Kingdom
- In all Member States of the European Economic Area (EEA) (currently: 3): Norway, Iceland, Liechtenstein
- In Switzerland: based on Swiss patent law

I.4 How long does an SPC last?

Purpose of an SPC

- Adequate effective protection of active ingredients
- Grant the holder of both a patent and an SPC
 <u>a maximum term of exclusivity of 15 years</u>
 from the grant of the first marketing authorisation
 (Merck Canada, C-555/13)

Term of an SPC

- Maximum term: **5 years** (Art. 13 No. 2)
- Extra 6 months (paediatric extension, Art. 13 No. 3)
- Minimum term: **Negative term** (Merck, C-125/10)

Term of an SPC (Art. 13 No. 1)

Period between application date of the patent and date of first MA in EU/EEA minus 5 years

Example 1

Application date of the patent: December 1, 2000 Period = Date of first MA: December 1, 2005 5 years Term of SPC: 0 days

```
15.5 years of exclusivity

—6 months
paediatric extension

Application date: Expiry date of patent:
December 1, 2000 December 1, 2020
```

Term of an SPC (Art. 13 No. 1)

Period between application date of the patent and date of first MA in EU/EEA minus 5 years

Example 2

Application date of the patent: July 5, 2002 Period = Date of first MA: March 21, 2007 4 y 8 m 16 d minus 3 m 14 d

```
Expiry date of SPC:
March 21, 2022

6 months
paediatric extension

Application date: March 21, 2007

Expiry date of patent:
July 5, 2002

Expiry date of patent:
July 5, 2022
```

Term of an SPC (Art. 13 No. 1)

Period between application date of the patent and date of first MA in EU/EEA minus 5 years

Example 3

Application date of the patent: December 1, 2000 | Period =

Date of grant of first MA: December 1, 2010 10 years

Term of SPC: 5 years

Start of SPC protection: December 1, 2020

Application date:
December 1, 2000

15.5 years of exclusivity

—6 months paediatric extension

Expiry date of patent: Expiry date of SPC:
December 1, 2020
DE: December 1, 2025

Practice 2014 – Date of first MA

- UK: uses <u>date of notification</u> of first MA (Genzyme, BL O/418/13)
- DE: uses <u>date of grant</u> of first MA
- **Seattle Genetics, C-471/14**, referral to the CJEU:

Is the date of first MA to be determined according to Community law or according to the date on which MA comes into effect according to the law of the EU Member State?

Is the <u>relevant date the date of grant</u> of the authorisation or the <u>date of notification</u> of the authorisation?

I.6 What are the conditions for obtaining an SPC?

An SPC shall be granted if <u>at the filing date</u> of the SPC application and in the Member State, in which the application is filed (Art. 3):

- (a) the product is protected by a basic patent in force;
- (b) a <u>valid authorisation</u> to place the product on the market as a medicinal/plant protection product has been granted;
- (c) an SPC has not yet been granted for the product;
- (d) the authorisation under (b) is the <u>first</u> authorisation for placing the product on the market.

An SPC shall be granted if <u>at the filing date</u> of the SPC application and in the Member State, in which the application is filed (Art. 3):

- (a) the product is protected by a basic patent in force;
- (b) ...; (c) ...; (d)....

Medeva (C-322/10)

A product is protected by the basic patent if it is "specified in the wording of a claim"

What is understood by "specified" in the case of Markush structures, functionally defined active ingredients?

Eli Lilly v HGS (C-493/12)

The dispute:

- **HGS** is the <u>holder of a patent</u> (expiry date:10-25-2016) relating to a new protein, Neutrokine-α, and antibodies binding thereto
- HGS's patent contains antibody and composition <u>claims</u> which <u>define the antibody functionally</u>
- **Eli Lilly** wanted to market a composition comprising antibody Tabalumab specifically binding to Neutrokine-α
- Eli Lilly's risk: is Tabalumab protected by HGS's patent and may HGS obtain a valid SPC for Tabalumab?

Eli Lilly v HGS (C-493/12)

Landmark questions referred to the CJEU:

- What are the criteria for deciding whether "the product is protected by a basic patent in force"?
- 3. In the case of a claim to an antibody ..., is it sufficient that the antibody ... is defined in terms of its binding characteristics to a target protein, or is it necessary to provide a structural definition for the antibody ..., and if so, how much?

Eli Lilly v HGS (C-493/12)

"Answers" of the CJEU:

A product is protected by a basic patent if the active ingredient is identified in the claims by means of a structural or a functional definition

In the case of a functional definition in the claims the product may be regarded as protected by the patent, if it is possible to reach the conclusion on the basis of the claims, interpreted in the light of the description, that the claims relate, implicitly but necessarily and specifically, to the active ingredient

Eli Lilly v HGS (C-493/12) - Impacts on Practice 2014

Product not identified in the claims of the basic patent

- \rightarrow **SPC** is invalid (Art. 15(1)(a))
- → SPC application will not be granted (Art. 3(a))

Remedies:

- Limitation of the basic patent before EPO or national patent offices
- Request for revocation of SPC/withdrawal of SPC request; filing of a new SPC application based on another patent?

II.2 On which MA must an SPC be based?

An SPC shall be granted if <u>at the filing date</u> of the SPC application and in the Member State, in which the application is filed (Art. 3):

- (a) ...;
- (b) a <u>valid authorisation</u> to place the product on the market as a medicinal/plant protection product has been granted;
- (c) ...;
- (d) the authorisation under (b) is the <u>first</u> authorisation for placing the product on the market.

II.2 On which MA must an SPC be based?

An SPC application must be based

- on the <u>first</u> marketing authorisation (MA) in the country, in which the SPC application is filed
- on a <u>marketing authorisation for the product</u>, <u>which covers the active ingredient</u> or the combination of active ingredients of the product

Landmark question relating to MAs:

Can the MA contain further active ingredients beyond the active ingredients in the SPC application? **Georgetown I (C-422/10)**

Answer: Yes

SPC shall be granted if <u>at the filing date</u> of the SPC application and in the Member State, in which the application is filed (Art. 3):

- (a) ...;
- (b) ...;
- (c) an SPC has not yet been granted for the product;
- (d) ...

Georgetown II (C-482/12)/Actavis v Sanofi (C-443/12)

It is possible to obtain more than one SPC per patent if the same patent protects several different active ingredients "as such".

Protection "as such" means:

the active ingredient, for which protection is sought constitutes the "core inventive advance" of that patent

Georgetown II (C-482/12)/Actavis v Sanofi (C-443/12) – Impacts on Practice 2014

A <u>second SPC for a combination of</u> an <u>active ingredient</u> protected "as such" by a basic patent **plus** a further long known active ingredient is invalid, if for the active ingredient alone a first SPC has already been granted

Reasoning: The first SPC confers the owner already the same rights as the basic patent also for the use of the combination of the two active ingredients

Federal Patent Court, BPatG, Telmisartan, 3 Ni 5/13

The dispute:

- 1st SPC/2nd SPC: granted based on the same patent
- 1st SPC: Telmisartan alone (expiry date: 11-12-2013)
- 2nd SPC: Telmisartan + HCTZ (expiry date: 01-31-2017)
 HCTZ is a long known active ingredient
- Actavis filed a nullity action against the 2nd SPC:
 2nd SPC is invalid as an SPC has already been granted for the same active ingredient

Decision of BPatG: 2nd SPC is invalid

III. Summary – Where are we in 2014?

1. When is a product protected by a basic patent?

If <u>active ingredient</u> is identified in the claims by means of a <u>structural or a functional definition</u> in a specific manner

2. What is a valid marketing authorisation?

A <u>first MA</u> covering the active ingredient of the product, and possibly further active ingredients

3. Can more than one SPC be granted per basic patent?

Yes, if the patent protects different active ingredients as such, i.e. if the active ingredients constitute the "core inventive advance" of the basic patent

Supplementary Protection Certificate

- Questions and Answers

Thank you for your attention!